BBAA VI International Colloquium on: Bluff Bodies Aerodynamics & Applications Milano, Italy, July, 20-24 2008

INSTANTANEOUS PRESSURE DISTRIBUTION ON SQUARE CYLINDER IN TURBULENT FLOW

Chan Wook Park* and Sungsu Lee †

* Division of Integrated Technology Daebul University, Gollanamdo, Korea e-mails: cwpark@mail.daebul.ac.kr

[†]School of Civil Engineering Chungbuk National University, Cheongju, 361-763, Chungbuk, South Korea e-mail: joshua@cbnu.ac.kr

Keywords: Large Eddy Simulation, Instantaneous Pressure.

1 INTRODUCTION

Dynamic performance of many engineering structures of cylindrical geometry is governed mainly by the interaction between incoming flow and the structure itself. Recent high rise buildings and long-span bridges are especially susceptible to the flow-structure interactions, including aeroelastic effects associated with vortex-induced oscillation, flutter, galloping and buffeting. Hence, of practical interest is subjacent physics of pressure distribution on the surface of the slender bodies. In order to investigate the flow field and pressure distribution around such structures, a study was conducted for numerical computations of unsteady flows around a square cylinder which has sharp corners.

This paper presents a finite element computations of flow field around a 3D square cylinder at Re=22,000. Galerkin finite element method is implemented except for the advection where streamline-upwind scheme is employed. Linear, isoparametric elements are employed. For the flow at high Reynolds number, the large eddy simulation is employed. Recently, a successful simulation of a turbulent flow around a square cylinder using was reported using the computational method adopted here [1, 2]. In the formulation of large eddy simulation, the Smagorinsky subgrid scale model is employed. The coefficient of eddy viscosity is computed at each time step. Time integration is accomplished using an explicit time integration scheme with subcycling strategy. Pressure is solved for using a segregated Poisson equation at each time step. Uniform flow is employed as inflow, while free slip condition is on lateral boundaries, and no-slip condition is on the solid wall.

2 FORMULATIONS

With box filter applied for space, governing equations consist of Navier-Stokes equations (NSEs) leading to

$$\frac{\partial \overline{u}_i}{\partial t} + \overline{u}_j \frac{\partial \overline{u}_i}{\partial x_j} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial P}{\partial x_i} + \nu \frac{\partial S_{ij}}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial \hat{\tau}_{ij}}{\partial x_j}$$
(1)

where

$$\hat{\tau}_{ij} = \tau^*_{ij} - \frac{1}{3} \delta_{ij} \tau^*_{kk\,ij}, \quad P = \overline{p} + \frac{1}{3} \tau^*_{kk\,ij}$$
(2)

Smagorinsky model based on the eddy viscosity concept and the mixing length theory is employed, where the eddy viscosity concept leads to the following model:

$$\hat{\tau}_{ij} = -2 \nu_{SGS} \overline{S}_{ij} \,. \tag{3}$$

where v_{SGS} is the eddy viscosity for LES which has the form of

$$\nu_{SGS} = \left(C_S \Delta\right)^2 \sqrt{2\bar{S}_{ij}\bar{S}_{ij}} \tag{4}$$

where C_S is the Smagorinsky constant set to 0.1 in this study.

Applying GFEM with isoparametric elements to governing equations results in the following algebraic equations.

$$\mathbf{M}\dot{\mathbf{V}} + \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{V})\mathbf{V} - \mathbf{C}\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{Q} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{C}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{0}$$
(5)

where V is a global velocity vector, P is a global pressure vector, and Q is a force vector incorporating the velocity natural boundary conditions. M is the mass matrix and K contains the advection and the diffusion terms. C is the gradient matrix while its transpose, C^{T} is the divergence matrix. The Reynolds SGS stress term is included in K and the eddy viscosity v_{SGS} is evaluated at the centroid of each element, at each time step. Explicit (Euler) method is employed for time integration, which a Poisson equation is solved for the pressure field at each time step, and the initial flow field is set to zero except for the inflow boundary, and it is next modified to satisfy the continuity equation by solving an auxiliary Poisson equation. Fig (1) depicts the computational domain employed in this study. No-slip on the solid wall and traction free on the outflow boundary are imposed.



Figure 1: Computational Domain

3 PRESSURE FIELD

In order to verify the computational results of the present study, integral parameters are compared with others in Table (1). The computation results in 0.134 as the Strouhal numbers found at the frequency where the peak of the spectral distribution of lift coefficient is located. It is close to the experimental value of 0.13 measured by Lin et al [5,6]. The drag coefficient is 2.06 and the time-averaged lift coefficient predicted is $O(10^{-2})$. These results are in good agreement with other experimental and computational data.

In order to investigate the instantaneous flow field around the square cylinder, five representative instances were defined using lift coefficients. Those instants were referred to a *'Frames'* and depicted in Fig (2). Fig (3) shows the contour plots of instantaneous pressure coefficients on the surfaces of the square cylinder at the instant corresponding to Frame 5 in

Fig (2). Each plot corresponds to a side of the square cylinder as depicted in a small diagram. Apparently the pressure on the lower surface is higher than that on the upper surface, resulting in positive lift (frame 5). Close to the windward corners, concentrations of pressure gradients of large magnitude are observed. Pressure distribution on the windward face is fairly uniform in spanwise direction (z) due to the uniformity of inflow. A mild uniformity of pressure is exhibited on the lower surface where the pressure is higher than that on the upper surface, whereas a large area of low pressure is seen on the upper surface. On the other hand, relatively large changes of pressure distribution in the z-direction are shown on the leeward face due to the three-dimensional characteristics of vortex shedding behind the cylinder.

Cases			Re	St	C _D		$(C_L)_{rms}$	l_r
					Mean	rms		
Present	3D LES (SM)	FEM	22000	0.134	2.06	0.33	1.214	0.75
		(47760)						
Murakami and	3D LES (SM)	FDM	22000	0.132	2.09	-	-	0.64
Mochida[3]		(71760)						
Lyn et al [4,5]	Experiment	9.75	21400	0.132	2.1	-	-	0.88
				-0.134				

Table 1: Comparison of integral parameters.



Figure 2: Definition of frames in instantaneous flow field.

It is well recognized that random reattachments of a separated shear layer creates intermittent recirculation regions on the upper and lower walls of the cylinder where lower pressure is expected. In order to investigate this feature, instantaneous flow fields adjacent to the cylinder and pressure distributions on the surface were considered.

A sequence of pressure distributions on the upper wall of the cylinder is shown in Fig (4), each of which corresponds to the frames 1 and 5. The figures also include surface-elevated plots of horizontal velocity and contour plots of lateral velocity adjacent to the surface. In the surface-elevated plots in Fig (4), positive values are flattened out so that the recirculation regions can be visualized.

In the surface-elevated plots of negative horizontal velocity, contours with thick solid line indicates the boundary of the recirculation regions. For all the frames considered here, a large magnitude of negative horizontal velocity is observed close to the windward corners, indicating separation of the incoming flow. The separation is often followed by immediate reattachment resulting in a large gradient of pressure, depending on the spanwise location. Comparing the surface-elevated plots with the pressure contours, the high pressure zone is seen in the region where reattachment occurs. Local detachment of the reattached flow is also observed. The variation of the surface-elevated plots in time and space does not show any particular patterns and confirms that the process of reattachment and detachment is random and intermittent.

4 CONCLUSION

In order to understand the correlation of surface pressure and flow field, large eddy simulation of 3D turbulent flow around a square cylinder was carried out. The simulated three-dimensional distributions of the pressure on the surface of the cylinder showed the

nonuniformity of the pressure field in the spanwise direction. Random and intermittent processes of reattachment and detachment of the separated shear layer on the cylinder surface were identified, and the reattachment was shown to be responsible for the increase in local pressure. A spanwise correlation of the simulated pressure field was compared to the available experimental data and showed reasonable agreement. In addition, an intermittent reattachment of short recirculation length was shown to be responsible for large negative pressure on the surface.



Figure 3: Instantaneous pressure at frame 5

Figure 4: Instantaneous pressure and velocity on upper wall

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by the grant from Underwater Vehicle Research Center and Agency for Defence Development, South Korea.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Lee, Large eddy simulation of flow past a square cylinder using finite element method, Ph.D Dissertation, Colorado State University, Ft. Collins, USA, 1997.
- [2] S. Lee, Numerical study of wake structure behind a square cylinder at high Reynolds number, *Wind and Structures, Int. J.*, vol.1, no.2, pp.127-144. 1998.
- [3] S. Murakami, A. Mochida and K. Hibi, Three-dimensional numerical simulation of air flow around a cubic model by means of large eddy simulation *J. Wind Eng. Ind. Aerodyn., Vol. 25*, 1987, pp.291-305.
- [4] D.A. Lyn and W. Rodi, The flapping shear layer formed by flow separation from the forward corner of a square cylinder, *J. Fluid Mech.*, vol. 267, 1994, pp.353-376.
- [5] D.A. Lyn, S. Einav, W. Rodi, and J.-H. Park, A laser-Doppler velocimeter study of ensemble-averaged characteristics of the turbulent near wake of a square cylinder, *J. Fluid Mech.*, vol. 304, 1995, pp.285-319.